

COUNCIL MEETING OF
March 28, 2006

SUPPLEMENTAL #1 TO ITEM 12A

Honorable Mayor and Members
of the Torrance City Council
City Hall
Torrance, California

Members of the Council:

SUBJECT: SUPPLEMENTAL #1 TO COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM 12A

The attached correspondence was received after Agenda Item 12A was prepared, and is submitted herewith for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFERY W. GIBSON
Community Development Director

By  _____
TED SEMAAN
Manager, Transportation Planning,
Engineering Permits and Records
Division

CONCUR:


Jeffery W. Gibson
Community Development Director

NOTED:


LeRoy J. Jackson
City Manager

Attachment

Crump, Jill

From: Werner, Margie
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2006 2:43 PM
To: Semaan, Ted; Crump, Jill; COUNCIL2
Subject: RV Parking Comments

For the record...Terry Schmoker (310-534-0494) and Kimberly Guterrez (310-320-5859) will not be able to attend this evening's council meeting and phoned to let Council know that they oppose RV parking on city streets and are against "grandfathering" for even one day.

Margie Werner
Assistant to the
Mayor and City Council
City of Torrance
3031 Torrance Boulevard
Torrance, CA 90503
PH: 310-618-2801
FAX: 310-618-5841
Email Address: mwerner@torrnet.com

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2006 10:33 AM
To: Gin, Mike; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: End RV on-street storage

From: CrankKings@aol.com [mailto:CrankKings@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, March 25, 2006 10:15 AM
To: Walker, Dan; Mauno, Michael H; McIntyre, Pat; Nowatka, Paul M.; Witkowsky, Hope
Subject: End RV on-street storage

I wholeheartedly agree with the rejection of the grandfathering provision. I believe the permit system is the only way to effectively control the rampant RV storage on Torrance streets by hardened scofflaws. RVs would be permitted to park on city streets only for the time periods displayed on the permit. Without permits, I believe the situation would deteriorate so that scofflaws would still be able to circumvent the intent of the law. Parking Enforcement would have to be empowered and expanded to enforce the law. As for Torrance getting involved in providing storage, us taxpayers already support Malibu waterfront, airplanes and yachts. The city should not subsidize consumer discretionary luxury items like RVs. At most, a private company should run the storage operation on land leased from the city.

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2006 10:26 AM
To: Gibson, Jeff; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: Torrance parking for oversize and overweight vehicles parked on public streets
Attachments: MVC-001S.JPG; MVC-003S.JPG; MVC-008S.JPG; MVC-009S.JPG; MVC-012S.JPG

From: ohnoitzmo1@juno.com [mailto:ohnoitzmo1@juno.com]
Sent: Monday, March 27, 2006 1:50 PM
To: Walker, Dan; Guyton, Rod; Mauno, Michael H; McIntyre, Pat; Nowatka, Paul M.; Scotto, Frank; Witkowsky, Hope
Subject: Torrance parking for oversize and overweight vehicles parked on public streets

Dear Mayor and members of the Torrance City council,

I am writing to you to encourage you make an ordinance or ordinances that will restrict parking of oversize and overweight vehicles to comply with the existing parking ordinances that restrict any parking to 72 hours maximum for any vehicle and one (1) hour maximum for vehicles that weigh over 8000 pounds (Ref. 61.6.19). During the Feb 27, 2006 council meeting it was stated by the police department and legal department that these ordinances are not being enforced by issuance of citations. The police representative at the meeting alluded to some legal decision during 2005 that restricted issuing citations because of lack of certain signage at the entrances to the city. Action(s) must be taken by the city to be able to enforce these ordinances by issuance of citations. If action is not taken to correct you might find 18 wheelers parked in front of your residences and the police could not issue citations.

There are numous instances where people that own large RV's are using the city streets for storage.

Fonthill Ave north of Maricopa adjacent to the high density residential development of the old Magnavox property has 3 or 4 RV's parked there because there is no RV parking in the project. This situation must be corrected and as far as I can tell there was no provision for this parking made in the ordinance proposal.

Alaska street near Myers RV is inundated with large RV storage on the street. Columbia Street west of Alaska has numerous large RV's stored there on a regular basis.

Abalone Street south of Carson street has numerous large RV's stored in the center of the street and along the curbs.

There are areas where there are extra wide vehicles are parked so that one set of wheels is over the curb and on the parkway and cones are placed in the street next to them. These are very narrow streets.

Try Juno Platinum for Free! Then, only \$9.95/month!
Unlimited Internet Access with 1GB of Email Storage.
Visit <http://www.juno.com/value> to sign up today!

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2006 10:12 AM
To: Gibson, Jeff; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: DRAFT- RV letter

From: Jim Dilamarter [mailto:jld.dilassoc@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2006 12:01 PM
To: pmnowatka@torrnet.com
Subject: DRAFT- RV letter

Parnelli Jones
20501 Earl St. Suite 2
Torrance, CA 90503

March 21, 2006

Councilman Paul Nowatka
City of Torrance

Dear Mr. Nowatka,

I would like to add my thoughts and opinion to the RV street parking vote which will affect in various ways, many residents and all property owners of Torrance. As a long time Torrance property owner myself, I am very concerned that RV parking may be allowed to continue on city streets and driveways in the almost unabated fashion as it is presently.

Let me make it clear however, that I do not oppose the needs of a RV, boating or motorcycle enthusiast who require a reasonable amount of time to load or unload a RV or a trailer in the street or driveway. What I am adamantly opposed to are those who flagrantly flaunt the spirit of the law just by moving their parked RV's or trailers, a few inches every 72 hours or worse, move their RV's onto someone else's street for the next 72 hours. I personally know of a van, filled with junk, which has been parked continuously on Earl St. for over FOUR years in the same spot, give or take an inch or two! Further, the owner does not even live on this street.

I also have a problem with RV's that are stored in driveways for extensive periods and never seem to move from that spot except for a week or two during the year.

Owners of street parked RV's, some who aren't even residents of Torrance, are blatantly using our city streets as a storage yard, essentially abusing and beating the system. More importantly however, as has been pointed out on previous occasions by others, among other problems these vehicles cause, is a dangerous situation for children at play and, for drivers attempting to exit driveways, or view approaching traffic at intersections because their view is sometimes blocked by RV's that are inappropriately parked by their inconsiderate owners.

For me it is also about respect; respect for the spirit of the law, respect for the City, respect for your neighbors and the neighborhood. Which is another reason that RV's just don't belong parked on city streets, driveways or front yards, and that is because as most realtors will tell you, their presence

03/28/2006

devalues that area's property and creates the potential for deterioration of the city's stature in the region. In my opinion, if someone can afford to purchase an RV, put gas in it, and maintain it, they certainly could, or should be able to store it at proper RV storage yard. Of course then we hear the RV owners complain that it's inconvenient or expensive for them. I say what about the inconvenience to others that have to put up these unsightly houses on wheels parked in the street or in a neighbor's front yard for what seems to be endless periods of time.

I would like to thank you for taking a moment to read my message and for your serious consideration to this matter which, as I stated earlier affects each and every property owner in the City of Torrance.

I urge you to adopt a law which will eliminate the parking of any RV or trailer on a city street, driveway or front yard except for a reasonable period to load and unload, and that you DO NOT insert a grandfather clause of any description.

Sincerely,

Parnelli Jones

Crump, Jill

From: Joy Peeraer [j_peeraer6@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 1:43 PM
To: Jim Harrigan
Cc: r guyton; mh mauno; p mcintyre; pm nowatka; f scotto; cd traffic; d walker; h witkowsky
Subject: Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Dear Mr. Harrigan:

I'm sorry that you see this as a "game." Should you truly see it that way, please, for the sake of all concerned, keep this civil and legal.

Yours cordially

Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Jim Harrigan <jh@econdevsys.com> wrote:

Game on

From: Joy Peeraer <j_peeraer6@yahoo.com>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 11:34:25 -0800 (PST)
To: Jim Harrigan <jh@econdevsys.com>
Cc: r guyton <rguyton@torrnet.com>, mh mauno <mhmauno@torrnet.com>, p mcintyre <pmcintyre@torrnet.com>, pm nowatka <pmnowatka@torrnet.com>, f scotto <fscotto@torrnet.com>, cd traffic <cddtraffic@torrnet.com>, d walker <dwalker@torrnet.com>, h witkowsky <hwitkowsky@torrnet.com>
Subject: Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Dear Mr. Harrigan:

Yes, we have given it thought. We have put it in our driveway to please the neighbors too, damaging the new \$13,000 driveway I might add. That wasn't enough. We also offered to move it to a storage facility if the neighbors wanted to collectively pay the monthly fee.

However, due to the amount of use our RV gets because of our daughters' racing schedule, just about every weekend, we have changed our opinion on that matter and we feel it is our right to store it on front of our home. Period.

Again, we wish no ill feelings and wish a peaceful resolution of this matter. However, as I stated before, we will not be bullied by you or anyone else.

We will fight to oppose your efforts to the very last hour.

Please be advised that this and all further communications, in the form of emails or memoranda, will be copied directly the Mayor Dan Walker and the Councilmembers.

Kindest personal regards,

Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Jim Harrigan <jh@econdevsys.com> wrote:

Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

It's interesting to note that you've "only" stored your RV in front of your house for the last 10 months. Have you ever given consideration to storing it in a storage yard...out of a residential neighborhood?

From: Joy Peeraer <j_peeraer6@yahoo.com>

Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 09:52:53 -0800 (PST)

To: Jim Harrigan <jh@econdevsys.com>

Cc: r guyton <rguyton@torrnet.com>, mh mauno <mhmauno@torrnet.com>, p mcintyre <pmcintyre@torrnet.com>, pm nowatka <pmnowatka@torrnet.com>, f scotto <fscotto@torrnet.com>, cd traffic <cddtraffic@torrnet.com>, d walker <dwalker@torrnet.com>, h witkowsky <hwitkowsky@torrnet.com>

Subject: Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Dear Mr. Harrigan:

Please note that we purchased our 2006 RV in June of 2005, therefore we have only stored it in front of our home for approximately 9 months. DMV records can prove that.

Where you get "the last three years" is just further evidence of your ignorance of this situation and the author's willingness to blatantly lie to the neighbors AND the City in the many attempts for personal gain. How boorish is that?

Furthermore, by and through the author's public memos to all of our neighbors and your email correspondence with me, you attempt to defame our family and the author is requesting that other neighbors engage in your campaign of harassment against us, the City and especially against Mayor Dan Walker himself by requesting that they call him "immediately and often." Squeaky wheels may be heard, it is true. Bullies are seen as just that.

Please refrain from this harassment or I will seek legal counsel to take all appropriate remedies against you, the author of the memos, and your association until the decision on this matter is made. At which time, I will lawfully abide by the City's decision, whatever that may be.

I am forwarding a copy of this email to Honorable Dan Walker and the Councilmembers so that they can witness first hand your unprofessional and inappropriate conduct regarding this issue.

You may wish to re-think who is truly being boorish in this situation. Our family is merely exercising our right to be heard regarding our views on the matter. If this is considered boorish, then perhaps you and your association may wish to lobby our lawmakers to completely re-write the Constitution.

Best personal regards to you, your family and the association.
It is our wish for a peaceful resolution of this matter.

Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Jim Harrigan <jh@econdevsys.com> wrote:

Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Thank you for your explanation for the reasons on why you've stored your trailer in front of your house for the last three years. You've proved the El Sereno letter writer right. You truly come off as a selfish boor that is only thinking of yourself. Congratulations.

Jim Harrigan

Hillside Residents Association

From: Joy Peeraer <j_peeraer6@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 20:34:16 -0800 (PST)
To: jh@econdevsys.com
Cc: r_guyton <rguyton@torrnet.com>, mh_mauno <mhmauno@torrnet.com>, p_mcintyre <pmcintyre@torrnet.com>, pm_nowatka <pmnowatka@torrnet.com>, f_scotto <fscotto@torrnet.com>, cd_traffic <cddtraffic@torrnet.com>, d_walker <dwalker@torrnet.com>, h_witkowsky <hwitkowsky@torrnet.com>
Subject: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Hillside Residents Association (HRA)

To Whom it May Concern:

I am in receipt of a memorandum dated March 15, 2006 which is entitled as referenced above. However, I was not the intended recipient as I reside at 4814 Via El Sereno, the property address at issue with regard to the ownership and storage of our RV in our driveway or on the street in front of our home.

I promptly took it upon myself to respond to this anger driven memo directly to The Honorable Dan Walker and His Councilmembers. A copy of which you may have the opportunity to review at some point in the future.

I find it very comedic that you repeatedly refer to Via El Sereno as "[Your] Steet" considering that: 1) Our particular home was originally purchased by the Sawers Family and is still owned by the Sawers Family, Second and Third Generations. Needless to say, we will NEVER sell so it is indeed OUR street too; 2) A large number of you who claim that the ownership and storage of our RV affects your property values are merely newcomers, as compared to the 45+ years that this home has been in our family; and lastly; 3) Many of the homeowners on the "lower end" of Via El Sereno only have the luxury of even owning a home there because of the self-less original homeowners who signed an agreement in support of/not opposing their construction tens of years ago. Sad thing is, you would not find that type of human regard now. Not here. Not on this street.

I am not going to trouble myself with writing a lengthy memo as to why I feel the memo secretly sent to every homeowner on Via El Sereno, except us, should be brought to the attention of the appropriate persons.

What I will say is that we feel that it is our legal right to store our RV on our property, be it in the driveway or on the street in front of our property.

Our right should not be taken away because of the current property values, realizing that the alleged safety issue is clearly a mute point. The fact that the type of people, who purchase homes at the current prices, do not live outside the box, cannot fairly dictate law.

That having been said, we will vehemently oppose your efforts to take away said right.

Cordially,
Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Re: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/postman2/*http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=39663/*http://voice
using Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.

New Yahoo! Messenger with Voice. Call regular phones from your PC
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/postman5/*http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=39666/*http://beta.messe
>
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/postman5/*http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=39666/*http://beta.messe
20> and save big.

New Yahoo! Messenger with Voice. Call regular phones from your PC
<http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/postman5/*http://us.rd.yahoo.com/evt=39666/*http://beta.messenger.y
> and save big.

Yahoo! Messenger with Voice. PC-to-Phone calls for ridiculously low rates.

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 3:55 PM
To: Gibson, Jeff; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: RV Parking

-----Original Message-----

From: Helene Babbitt [mailto:hbabbitt@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 12:48 PM
To: Walker, Dan; Mauno, Michael H; McIntyre, Pat; Nowatka, Paul M.; Witkowsky, Hope
Subject: RV Parking

Re: RV parking in Torrance.

RV parking on Torrance streets is unattractive, People have the right to own and enjoy RV's but should be responsible for there storage, It is unfair to ask Torrance taxpayers to foot the bill for RV facilities.

Please consider the large percent of Torrance homeowners who do not own R V's and prefer not to have to look at them on a daily basis.

Helene Babbitt
5335 Sharynne Lane
Torrance 90505
hbabbitt@sbcglobal.net

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 4:21 PM
To: Gibson, Jeff; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: RV parking

From: sandy sternquist [mailto:sandynmonte@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2006 8:38 PM
To: Guyton, Rod; Mauno, Michael H; McIntyre, Pat; Nowatka, Paul M.; Scotto, Frank; Walker, Dan; Witkowsky, Hope
Cc: Semaan, Ted
Subject: RV parking

When reading the notes from the Feb. 28th meeting I noticed several things of interest. First of all there are seven (7) pages of "other town" actions regarding parking however when I called Redondo, Carson, Lomita and Lawndale (our neighboring towns) they all said "72 hr. parking, same as any other motor vehicle". Also the list of City Wide Survey of RV's and other oversized vehicles listed a lot of trailers, boats, and other non-motorized vehicles as well as pages of out- of- town vehicles parked, many of which show 'no record' of ownership. If these violations were cleared up there would be a lot less RV's on the streets.

I still strongly believe current home/RV owners should be 'grandfathered' in as all of us bought our RV's before restrictions were even considered. The term 'affordable' with respect to RV storage is a relative term and cannot be assumed that all RV owners can afford this. We have owned an RV for 32 years and to change rules on us, and others, is truly unfair. I doubt if most complainers have lived in Torrance that long so why move in and want things changed.

We noticed at the council meeting 2-28-06 that the people who are in favor of restricting RV parking are on the aggressive side of this argument and the RV owners are the victims of this aggression. We, the RV owners, are not trying to change anything and want parking to remain the same as it always been.

In closing please just consider enforcing the current laws on the books and not add additional laws. Our Police Dept. has enough to do without issuing special permits and etc to we RV, and in most cases homeowners, of Torrance.

Thanks to the traffic commission for the time and effort they put into this project to make it come out fair. We will all be at the council meeting Tues, March 28th to see how you vote on this issue.

Crump, Jill

From: Nowatka, Paul M.
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 3:54 PM
To: Gibson, Jeff; Crump, Jill
Subject: FW: RV & oversize vehicle parking

-----Original Message-----

From: tom [mailto:tom_elkins@yahoo.com]
 Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 11:17 AM
 To: crankings@aol.com; Guyton, Rod; Mauno, Michael H; McIntyre, Pat; Nowatka, Paul M.;
 Scotto, Frank; Witkowsky, Hope
 Subject: RV & oversize vehicle parking

2nd thoughts on RV & oversize vehicle parking

In my opinion the GRANDFATHERING of RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES does not solve the problem, it just leaves use at status quo.

I believe the original idea was to eventually get rid of all RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES parked on Torrance Streets.

If we just have an ordinance that stops all RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLE parking on Torrance streets that also solves the problem of other cities dumping there RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES on Torrance Streets (30% of RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES on Torrance Streets not owned by Torrance residence). It would also solve the problem of gated communities that do not allow parking of RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES inside of their gates. Three (3) problems solved with one ordinance.

I believe 6 mo to 1 year for the present owners of RV & OVERSIZE VEHICLES to find other parking places would be sufficient.

thanks
 tom_elkins@yahoo.com

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around <http://mail.yahoo.com>

Crump, Jill

From: Joy Peeraer [j_peeraer6@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 10:29 AM
To: r guyton; mh mauno; p mcintyre; pm nowatka; f scotto; cd traffic; d walker; h witkowsky
Subject: 4814 Via El Sereno, RV Issue

Dear Honorable Dan Walker and Councilmembers:

First of all, I wanted to apologize for the numerous emails being sent your way in a sudden gust. It is not my intent to cause any unrest but I do feel that I have the right to defend my family in this battle.

As a sidenote, I wanted to say that my family uses our RV almost every weekend. My entire family, including our 4 daughters, race their quads in the desert or just trail ride for fun. We try to teach them a true appreciation for the outdoors, from the desert to the ocean, because we are also avid surfers. These daughters are going to be s in this community and is our goal to ensure that they become upstanding happy citizens, as free as possible from the poison of our morally deteriorating society and as free as possible from the negative effects of , alcohol and bad associations. My 9 year-old daughter Katherine just won her first motocross trophy last week which was a very big self-esteem booster. A happy occasion that would not be possible without the regular use of our RV.

If we are forced to keep our RV elsewhere, it will be virtually impossible for me to prepare our family for these trips every Friday afternoon, as well as unloading on Monday and doing the necessary chores to ensure for a smooth next trip.

Moreover, I suffer from Lupus which is difficult to manage in and of itself. The stress that this war is causing m and my family is only further exacerbating my health issues. The harassment we are enduring, i.e. being targeted and called names, etc., is simply unjust.

So, again, I apologize for creating another lengthy memo, I just wanted to let you know that we use our RV a lot, not just once a year or once in a while. We need the ability to have it in front of our home.

Thank you for your audience in this regard. I appreciate your time and consideration. Best wishes for a relaxing weekend.

Kind regards,

Joy M. Peeraer
4814 Via El Sereno,

Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.

Crump, Jill

From: Joy Peeraer [j_peeraer6@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2006 6:43 AM
To: jh@econdevsys.com
Cc: r guyton; mh mauno; p mcintyre; pm nowatka; f scotto; cd traffic; d walker; h witkowsky
Subject: Correction to prior email: "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on [OUR] Street"

Hillside Residents Association (HRA)

As I reviewed my email to you regarding the above, it occurred to me that I used the word "mute" incorrectly...I got a chuckle when I re-read it as silly as the mistake is.

Anyway, the word "moot" was intended as it relates to alleged safety issues concerning our RV. The street on which we live is a wide street with ample visibility, not the narrow streets of Old Torrance or the like. There is minimal traffic as it is a partial cul de sac and most obey the speed laws. Our RV does not cause any visual disturbances affecting the safety of our children. Period. Any visual disturbance caused by our RV is only a matter of personal taste of a very loud few.

Furthermore, the safety issues as they relate to this neighborhood are merely used as fuel for the fire, given that there are no safety issues even referenced in your most recent memo.

I apologize for any misunderstanding this slight typographical error may have caused, but I'm sure you understood the intended point upon reading it. It was late and I was very tired, especially tired of having to defend what I feel is our legal right.

Lastly, we do not take kindly to your bullying tactics, i.e. your request stated in your memo that all neighbors harass us every three days through complaints to the City, nor do we receive well the use of degrading words, i.e. "boorish neighbors," etc. To your discredit, it only brings to light the true underlying basis for your argument, which I'm absolutely sure Honorable Dan Walker and his Councilmembers will take into consideration being the fair leaders that they are.

Very truly yours,
Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.

Crump, Jill

From: Joy Peeraer [j_peeraer6@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 23, 2006 5:27 PM
To: dwalker@torrnet.com
Cc: r guyton; mh mauno; p mcintyre; pm nowatka; f scotto; cd traffic; h witkowsky
Subject: Letter dated March 15, 2006 Regarding "Another Obnoxious Recreational Vehicle Located on Our Street"
Attachments: 405538283-scan0001.jpg

To The Honorable Mayor Dan Walker and Councilmembers:

As resident and family member of the owner of **4814 Via El Sereno**, the property being attacked for the ownership and storage of a Recreational Vehicle, I am writing on behalf of our family and perhaps the families of other RV owners to address my concerns regarding a memo which was graciously provided to us by one of the neighbors on our street who emphathizes with us regarding the vicious battle which we currently face. Not all of the residents on our street have such aggressive views regarding this issue. As a matter of fact, they are only a very loud few. (**Attached hereto is a copy of the above-referenced memorandum dated March 15, 2006.**)

That having been said, I will respond to the memo by paragraph so as to facilitate the true undertanding of each issue:

See Memo, Paragraph 3: The memo states concerns regarding property values and the recent sale of 4816 Via El Sereno, however, the memo conveniently omits a very important detail, the **reason** why the home was put or the market in the first place.

The owners at 4806 Via El Sereno have spoken with us at length regarding the sale of their home and have indicated that among the many reasons for the sale of their home, one of them is **NOT** our family...(NOT OUR RV, NOR OUR ATV's) nor our "trendy" lifestyle but rather the hostility and animosity which they have been the victims of by a select few neighbors who have made it their job in life to try to inappropriately micro-manage the neighborhood. The same leaders of this war against us.

They indicated that they were concerned for the future of their daughters in a neighborhood lacking neighborly love. These owners were even the victims of a vandalous act wherein the children of one of the militant neighbors placed offensive material on their front doorstep and, when questioned, **tried to blame the incident on OUR CHILDREN** since (given the size and circumstances of our family) they would seem the most likely suspects, only later to find out the actual truth of the matter.

They further indicated that they would write a letter on our behalf and expressed only their utmost support for our RVing/ATVing lifestyle.

Furthermore, the **5** (NOT 6) All Terrain Vehicles, each owned outright by the owner of 4814 Via El Sereno and cumulatively valued in excess of at \$40,000, are **NOT stored... "in [our] front yard"** but rather **ARE IN FACT STORED INSIDE THE RV** or our **GARAGE**. This statement, as well as others, is a blatantly untrue and self-serving statement made with injurious intent. There is nothing in or around our property which would be considered in violation of ANY Torrance City Code.

See Memo, Paragraph 5: "We feel that this is a residential neighborhood and all RVs and **commercial truck**, (with the exception of pick-ups) should be parked in an appropriate industrial storage facility, out of sight to neighbors..." (Emphasis added.)

03/28/2006

This raises a very good question as to the many LARGE trucks and extra vehicles regularly parked in the residents' driveways and on our street for days and weeks at a time while they are doing home improvements, i.e. new roofs, tree trimming, interior reconstruction or refuse disposal. Again, this paragraph is worded in a self-serving manner because every one of us has had home improvement done, some of us recently, thereby causing visibility of large "commercial trucks" or refuse bins for several days at a time in excess of 3 days.

Also, let me mention that one of the neighbors who recently spoke at the last City Council meeting (aggressively against parking our RV in front of our home) actually had an out of town family member with an RV parked in front of their home (jutting into the cul de sac, and perpendicular to the street I might add) for I believe an excess of 3 days, causing a similar eyesore, the same eyesore we are being accused of.

See Memo Paragraph 6: "As we are tired of playing games, we now request that all residents call the City Parking [E]nforcement" at 310-618-2344 every 3 days..."

We too are tired of playing games. By this statement, the author of this memo is making an attempt to rally homeowners to harass us pending the outcome of the City's decision...."to call and keep calling..." "Squeaky wheels that vote are noticed by all politicians. Please discipline yourself to call these two numbers IMMEDIATELY AND OFTEN." (Emphasis added.)

It is incomprehensible how you, Honorable Dan Walker, as ultimately fair as you are and it appears a very kind man indeed, could find this persistent harassment of the privileged minority anything but just that. This is a war between Daniel and Goliath and we are being unfairly bullied pending this decision. It is the responsibility of the City to cite us as necessary, not this group of militant homeowners.

See Memo Paragraph 7: "these boorish neighbors..." "trendy selfishness because they can afford it." This is character degradation at its best. By the use of these words, the basis for their argument has undertones of a very personal nature which should NOT be the basis for any legal decisions made regarding this issue. Furthermore, we take great offense to these words as they, by definition, attempt to suggest perhaps a lower level of civil refinement because of our lifestyle.

"Thank you for keeping our neighborhood clean, attractive and expensive."

I am appalled at the arrogance of this sentence. This neighborhood wasn't always known for its "expensive" qualities but rather a beautiful community in which a family could be raised happily. AN RV FOSTERS FAMILY UNITY. Why should the people with families who still live here (and have lived here since the home was built over 45 years ago) be bullied by newcomers or those with moral characters reflective of a community of exorbitant property values and money arrogance. Hardly fair. Highly discriminatory.

I respectfully request that this issue be treated with the utmost of fairness to **all** concerned, not just the very vocal and aggressively harrasing anti-RV homeowners.

Kind personal regards,

Resident, 4814 Via El Sereno

Blab-away for as little as 1¢/min. Make PC-to-Phone Calls using Yahoo! Messenger with Voice.

